Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

122nd General Assembly of Ohio

BILL:	**Sub. H.B. 55**	DATE:	**March 10, 1997**
STATUS:	**As Passed by the Senate**	SPONSOR:	**Rep. Gardner**

LOCAL IMPACT STATEMENT REQUIRED: **No — No local cost**

CONTENTS: **Convey state's interest in land in Bowling Green to Behavioral Connections of Wood County, Inc.; specify permissible uses for land previously conveyed to Cincinnati**

State Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal effect on the state.

Local Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal effect on political subdivisions.

Detailed Fiscal Analysis

Land Conveyence to Behavioral Connections

In 1979, the state conveyed two parcels of land totaling 1.496 acres in the City of Bowling Green to Wood County Mental Health Clinic, Inc., which later merged into Behavioral Connections of Wood County, Inc. However, the deed that effected the conveyance contained reservations and reversions that included the stipulation that Bowling Green State University students and employees were to have access to this property and any improvements made to it. The bill transfers these remaining rights in the property to Behavioral Connections of Wood County, Inc. The transfer would be made on the condition that Behavioral Connections would receive a grant within one year of the bill's enactment from the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development to construct a residential facility for people with chronic mental illness. This transfer does not have a fiscal effect.

Permissible Uses for Land in Hamilton County

H.B. 166 of the 115th General Assembly authorized that the land to be conveyed be used exclusively for high technology research, which the current bill describes further. The new bill specifies uses of the land to include laboratory work, light manufacturing, conference facilities, and services which support functions of the research companies. This legislation also notes that only the specific plots of land which are not used for allowable purposes, as opposed to the entire land, will be reverted to the state. These language modifications would not cause a direct fiscal impact on state or local governments.

❑ *LBO staff: Sybil Haney, Budget/Policy Analyst*
Debra Pelley, Senior Analyst

hb0055sp