

Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

122nd General Assembly of Ohio

BILL: H.B. 221

DATE: April 10, 1997

STATUS: As Introduced

SPONSOR: Rep. Buchy

LOCAL IMPACT STATEMENT REQUIRED: No — No local cost

CONTENTS: Exempts political subdivisions and courts from unfunded state mandates

State Fiscal Highlights

STATE FUND	FY 1997	FY 1998	FUTURE YEARS
All Funds			
Revenues	- 0 -	- 0 -	- 0 -
Expenditures	- 0 -	Potential increase	Potential increase

- Potential future increases in expenditures if a duty is imposed requiring political subdivisions or courts to carry out actions which under current law the state would not be required to fund. Under the bill's provisions the state could be required to fund future acts that political subdivisions or courts determined to have a fiscal impact and therefore declined to implement.
- According to a report prepared by LBO, 18 bills enacted in 1995 contained local costs (greater than a minimal amount). See attachment.

Local Fiscal Highlights

LOCAL GOVERNMENT	FY 1997	FY 1998	FUTURE YEARS
Counties, Cities, Townships, Villages, School Districts, and Courts			
Revenues	Potential increase	Potential increase	Potential increase
Expenditures	Potential decrease	Potential decrease	Potential decrease

- Potential future revenue increases and/or expenditure decreases if the state imposes an obligation that would, under current law, have an impact on a political subdivision or court and which in the future would either be funded by the state or not carried out by the political subdivision or court.



Detailed Fiscal Analysis

The bill would allow political subdivisions to decline to fulfill an obligation imposed by the state if the performance of the duty would cost money and no funding is provided by the state. Therefore, in the future, costs incurred by political subdivisions due to state mandates could be borne by the state if local governments choose not to fulfill an obligation and the state chooses to carry it out.

All of the potential future cost savings (to local governments) are contingent upon whether any future obligations or duties are imposed by the state without state funding that political subdivisions would then not have to fulfill. In the same fashion, all of the potential future expenditure increases (to the state) are contingent upon whether the state imposes any future obligations on local governments without any funding.

In 1995 (the most recent year with complete data), 232 of the introduced bills (29%) and 18 of the enacted bills (17%) were determined by LBO to have an impact on local governments in their enacted form¹. Details on the enacted bills with local impact are contained in a report prepared by the Legislative Budget Office, as required by Am. Sub. S.B. 33 of the 120th General Assembly (ORC section 103.143). We can conclude from the above data that the likelihood of future legislation being enacted with an impact on political subdivisions is relatively high. The following chart lists the bills that were enacted in 1995 with an impact on local governments and the types of political subdivisions affected by each piece of legislation.

¹ Upon introduction, 19 of the bills eventually enacted in 1995 were determined by LBO to have an impact on local governments. However, due to legislative action, several of the bills were altered prior to enactment changing LBO's estimate of impact. In the final analysis, 18 of the bills (17%) enacted in 1995 were determined by LBO to have an impact on local governments in their enacted form. This list does not include bills for which, the cost was only minimal.

ATTACHMENT TO FISCAL NOTE FOR H.B. 698

<u>BILL #</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>POLITICAL SUBDIVISION(S) IMPACTED²</u>
HB 1	Juvenile crimes - procedure/punishment	C, M, T
HB 4	Gun violence	C, M
HB 5	DNA database/criminal give blood sample	C, M
HB 50	Townships-restrict adult oriented business	C, M, T
HB 86	County budgeting	C, M, T
HB 99*	Election laws - revise	C, M, T
HB 117	Biennial operating appropriations	C, M, T, S
HB 151*	Common pleas courts - no chief justice	C
HB 167	Public Assistance-revise law	C
HB 202	Livestock-prohibit tampering/unauthorized drugs	C, M
HB 226	PFDPF-disability/health care/Medicaid reimbursement	M, T
HB 249	General Assistance-abolish/Disability Assistance-change	C
HB 343	New manufacturing equipment tax credit-expand	C, M, T
HB 376	Adjutant General-make land conveyances	C, M
SB 2	Criminal Sentencing/other criminal law changes	C, M, T
SB 4	Post conviction Relief Law-revise	C
SB 8	Campaign finance	C, M, T
SB 188	Manufacturing Machinery-tax credit/sport facility debt limit	C, M, T, S

☐ *LBO staff: Joshua N. Slon. Budget/Policy Analyst
hb0221in*

* Indicates a bill that had no impact on local governments in its introduced form but did have an impact upon political subdivisions when it was enacted.

² C is for counties, M for municipalities, T for townships, and S for school districts.