Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

122 nd General Assembly of Ohio

BILL:	**H.B. 394**	DATE:	**October 22, 1997**
STATUS:	**As Passed by the House**	SPONSOR:	**Rep. Mason**

LOCAL IMPACT STATEMENT REQUIRED: **No — No local cost**

CONTENTS: **Revises circumstances under which an order granting or denying a provisional remedy is a final appealable order**

State Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal effect on the state.

Local Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal effect on local governments.

Detailed Fiscal Analysis

This bill would potentially increase the number of cases that may be heard on appeal. However, the Ohio Judicial Conference and the association of Ohio's Appellate Court Judges have indicated that expanding the definition of "final order" as provided in this bill will affect a minimal number of cases and would not increase caseloads to any appreciable extent. There should be no increase of court operating costs (personnel, maintenance, equipment) based on this bill. Enactment of this bill will have no fiscal effect at either the state or any local level.

Currently, there may be some cases that are not being appealed because a meaningful or effective remedy is no longer available once a final judgement has been rendered. Under this bill, these "time sensitive" cases, when a provisional remedy has been granted or denied, could be heard on appeal before the trial court has issued a final judgment.

❑ *LBO staff: Corey C. Schaal, Budget/Policy Analyst*

H:\WEB\NEWFNS\HB0394HP.DOC