

# Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

127<sup>th</sup> General Assembly of Ohio

Ohio Legislative Service Commission  
77 South High Street, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor, Columbus, OH 43215-6136 ♦ Phone: (614) 466-3615  
♦ Internet Web Site: <http://www.lsc.state.oh.us/>

---

BILL: **H.B. 113** DATE: **March 5, 2008**  
STATUS: **As Reported by Senate Judiciary--Criminal Justice** SPONSOR: **Reps. White and Luckie**  
LOCAL IMPACT STATEMENT REQUIRED: **No — No local cost**  
CONTENTS: **Faith-based reentry services**

---

## State Fiscal Highlights

STATE FUND	FY 2009 – FUTURE YEARS
<b>Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DRC) &amp; Department of Youth Services (DYS)*</b>	
Revenues	- 0 -
Expenditures	Potential minimal annual increase to produce required progress report, likely to be absorbed into daily cost of doing business

Note: The state fiscal year is July 1 through June 30. For example, FY 2009 is July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009.

\* The source of the moneys that either state entity might use to cover any annual reporting costs is uncertain.

- **State expenditures.** According to both DRC and DYS staff, the bill's mandatory recruitment and access provisions essentially codify the existing practices of both state entities, and the faith-based reentry services provisions will not produce any significant increase in expenditures related to such services. Staff of both state entities also noted that there may be some cost associated with producing the required annual progress report, but that such a cost would be minimal at most and easily absorbed into the daily cost of doing business.
- **State revenues.** The bill's faith-based reentry services provisions would not appear to have any direct fiscal effect on state revenues.

## Local Fiscal Highlights

- **Local fiscal effects.** The bill's faith-based reentry services provisions would not appear to have any direct fiscal effect on any of the state's political subdivisions.



---

## ***Detailed Fiscal Analysis***

### **Overview**

The bill requires the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DRC) and the Department of Youth Services (DYS) to actively recruit, and allow, appropriately qualified nonprofit faith-based, business, professional, civic, educational, and community organizations that are registered with these respective state entities to enter adult correctional institutions or youth facilities for the purpose of providing reentry services to inmates or delinquent children. Reentry services may include, but are not limited to, counseling, housing, job placement, and money management assistance.

The bill additionally requires both DRC and DHS to post a department telephone number on their respective official web sites that the above-noted organizations may call to obtain information about providing reentry services. The web sites must also list all of the organizations that are registered with DRC or DHS to provide reentry services.

Lastly, the bill requires DRC and DHS to annually issue a written report on their progress in implementing the recommendations of the Correctional Faith-Based Initiatives Task Force.

### **State fiscal effects**

#### **State expenditures**

According to both DRC and DHS staff, the bill's mandatory recruitment and access provisions essentially codify the existing practices of both state entities, and the faith-based reentry services provisions will not produce any significant increase in expenditures related to such services. It is possible, however, that the codification of these requirements may raise the visibility of faith-based reentry services and increase the pool of vendors available and interested in providing reentry services. Staff of both state entities also noted that there may be some cost associated with producing the required annual progress report, but that such a cost would be minimal at most and easily absorbed into the daily cost of doing business.

#### **State revenues**

The bill's faith-based reentry services provisions would not appear to have any direct fiscal effect on state revenues.

### **Local fiscal effects**

The bill's faith-based reentry services provisions would not appear to have any direct fiscal effect on any of the state's political subdivisions.

*LSC fiscal staff: Joseph Rogers, Senior Budget Analyst*

