
Detailed Fiscal Analysis

Missing child school record keeping and investigations

The bill requires a public or nonpublic school to mark the records of a missing student when the school is notified by a law enforcement agency that the student is the subject of a missing child report. It also requires a public or nonpublic school to notify the law enforcement agency when the missing child's records are requested. When responding to such a request, the public or nonpublic school is required to ensure that the receiving district or school will not be able to tell that the student's records have been marked. Upon notification by a law enforcement agency that the child is no longer missing, the public or nonpublic school is required to remove the mark from the student's records.

Public and nonpublic schools may experience minimal increases in expenditures as a result of marking the records of students identified as missing children and communicating with law enforcement agencies when missing students' records are requested. According to the Buckeye Association of School Administrators, it is likely that school districts would simply attach a note to a student's permanent enrollment card. Currently, a student's permanent enrollment card is generally photocopied for the school in which the student subsequently enrolls. The bill permits, but does not require, a law enforcement agency to notify the school that a child has been reported as missing. If a law enforcement agency chooses to notify the school, it may incur minimal increases in expenditures as a result of determining in which school the child was most recently enrolled and then notifying that school that the child has been reported as missing.

Current law requires law enforcement agencies to assist and cooperate with each other in missing child investigations. The bill specifies that such assistance and cooperation need to follow the agreed-upon terms. It also specifies that when law enforcement agency employees provide services related to missing child investigations outside their jurisdiction, they are covered by the state Sovereign Immunity Law, any indemnity fund established by their employer, and the state Workers' Compensation Law to the same extent as if providing services within their jurisdiction. These provisions do not appear to have any additional fiscal effects beyond current law.

LSC fiscal staff: Andrew Plagenz, Budget Analyst

HB0181EN/lb