
Detailed Fiscal Analysis

Provisions of the bill

The bill prohibits public authorities from taking private property for a public use for the purpose of increasing revenues for a public authority. Public authorities may not use the potential revenues as evidence that the property to be taken is blighted. The bill also exempts agricultural lands from being designated as blighted if the land is used for agricultural purposes as defined by section 303.01 or 519.01 of the Revised Code.

If a public agency acquires any real property, it must include a statement of the purpose of the appropriation and indicate that the prior owner possesses a right to repurchase the property if the public agency decides to not use the land for the stated purpose.

The bill also sets restrictions as to what purposes that property claimed by eminent domain may be used. No such property may be used for any private commercial enterprise, economic development, or any other private use unless that property is conveyed or leased to a public utility, a private entity that occupies an incidental area within a publicly owned project, or a private entity that shows a preponderance of evidence that the property is blighted as defined in section 1.08 of the Revised Code. Once a public entity finds an area blighted, it cannot appropriate the property until it adopts a comprehensive development plan, and obtains a resolution from the governing legislative body where applicable. However, before a public agency completes the appropriation of property, it must provide notice of a reasonable public comment period. This notice must be provided in a newspaper of general circulation for two consecutive weeks.

Impact on political subdivisions

Some local governments may experience a loss of revenues in the future that may have been available were the public agencies permitted to take certain private property through the use of eminent domain. These public agencies may also experience minimal cost increases for the additional notice requirements placed in the bill.

The bill also establishes some compensation requirements for property taken by eminent domain. The bill requires that any public agency compensate property owners for any moving or relocation costs, direct losses of tangible property, reasonable expenses associated with searching for replacement farms or businesses, and reasonable re-establishment costs. If the final award of compensation for property exceeds 125% of the public agency's original offer, the court shall enter judgment in favor of the owner for all costs and expenses.

Should a public agency take a property through eminent domain, the compensation cost requirements set forth in the bill could potentially exceed those that exist in current law, thereby resulting in increased expenditures for the public takings.

Overall, the future revenue impact of the bill on local governments would be difficult to quantify, but it is possible that there could be revenue losses in the form of foregone property taxes, or any sort of revenue resulting from the economic development due to projects that were not undertaken because of the eminent domain restrictions in the bill.

LSC fiscal staff: Terry Steele, Budget Analyst

SB0007S1/rh