

Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

127th General Assembly of Ohio

Ohio Legislative Service Commission
77 South High Street, 9th Floor, Columbus, OH 43215-6136 ♦ Phone: (614) 466-3615
♦ Internet Web Site: <http://www.lsc.state.oh.us/>

BILL: **Proposed Sub. S.B. 171 (LSC 127 0073-4)** **DATE:** **January 9, 2008**

STATUS: **In Senate Insurance, Commerce and Labor** **SPONSOR:** **Sen. Stivers**

LOCAL IMPACT STATEMENT REQUIRED: **No — Minimal cost**

CONTENTS: **Makes certain changes to the Pawnbrokers Law and the Secondhand Dealers Law**

State Fiscal Highlights

STATE FUND	FY 2008	FY 2009	FUTURE YEARS
General Revenue Fund			
Revenues	Potential negligible gain	Potential negligible gain	Potential negligible gain
Expenditures	- 0 -	- 0 -	- 0 -
Victims of Crime/Reparations Fund (Fund 402)			
Revenues	Potential negligible gain	Potential negligible gain	Potential negligible gain
Expenditures	- 0 -	- 0 -	- 0 -

Note: The state fiscal year is July 1 through June 30. For example, FY 2008 is July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008.

- As a result of the potential for additional criminal prosecutions brought about by the additional requirements in the bill, the state may gain a negligible amount of revenue to the GRF and the Victims of Crime/Reparations Fund (Fund 402).

Local Fiscal Highlights

LOCAL GOVERNMENT	FY 2008	FY 2009	FUTURE YEARS
Counties and Municipalities			
Revenues	Potential minimal gain from fines, court costs, or fees	Potential minimal gain from fines, court costs, or fees	Potential minimal gain from fines, court costs, or fees
Expenditures	Potential increase in administrative and adjudication costs	Potential increase in administrative and adjudication costs	Potential increase in administrative and adjudication costs
Townships			
Revenues	Potential minimal gain from fees	Potential minimal gain from fees	Potential minimal gain from fees
Expenditures	Potential minimal increase in administrative costs	Potential minimal increase in administrative costs	Potential minimal increase in administrative costs

Note: For most local governments, the fiscal year is the calendar year. The school district fiscal year is July 1 through June 30.



- **Purchase and sale records.** Redaction requirements for certain purchase and sale records kept by scrap metal dealers requested by police and subsequently requested by persons claiming to own a stolen article would likely not be a significant financial burden to law enforcement agencies. Though some additional administrative costs (mainly for personnel) may be created to perform the redactions, the particular effect would likely depend on how often local law enforcement agencies requested records, the agency's records retention schedule, and the manner in which the records are received. The bill provides for law enforcement agencies to charge a fee for providing these records.
 - **Local criminal justice costs.** Violators of a new penalty or many of the new provisions in the bill would be guilty of a first-degree misdemeanor or fined between \$25 and \$1,000 and the costs of prosecution under current law, depending on the violation. The new penalty and requirements in the bill could lead to more prosecutions and convictions. This means that local prosecution and adjudication costs may increase. However, fine and/or court cost revenue would appear to mitigate any increase in local prosecution or adjudication expenses. Fine proceeds remain in the county of prosecution.
-

Detailed Fiscal Analysis

Overview

This bill makes certain changes to the Pawnbrokers Law and the Secondhand Dealers Law. Primarily, the bill modifies the Secondhand Dealers Law to define a "scrap metal dealer" as a person who purchases or receives scrap metal and defines "special purchase article" as a list of equipment or materials such as beer kegs, cable, and wire used in utility service, certain grave markers, motor vehicle engines, and so on. The bill requires scrap metal dealers to keep more stringent records, such as certain purchase or sale records and specific records for special purchase articles, in a manner specified by the bill. The bill requires the records to be open for inspection by local law enforcement as well as the Director of Public Safety or the Director's designee. The bill would appear to have three primary impacts on local government, which are discussed below.

Purchase and sale records

The bill requires scrap metal dealers to provide purchase and sale records to any local law enforcement or Department of Public Safety personnel that request them. The Ohio Association of Chiefs of Police noted that most agencies would likely only inspect the records at the scrap yard, indicating that such records requests by law enforcement would be infrequent. However, if there was a substantial increase in thefts in a particular area, the law enforcement agency may request that the records be sent to them temporarily or until the theft activity lessens.

The bill allows a person who claims to own a stolen article that may be identified in the purchase and sale records and that provides proof of having filed a stolen property report to request those records, but the law enforcement agency must redact information revealing the name of the seller, the price paid for any article the dealer purchased, or the estimated value of any article the dealer received.

The redaction requirement would likely not be a significant financial burden to law enforcement agencies. For instance, some police departments, such as the Columbus Police Department, have dedicated Public Records Units that exclusively handle public records requests. Though some additional costs (mainly for personnel) may be created, the particular effect would likely depend on how often local law enforcement agencies requested such records, the agency's records retention schedule, and the manner in which the records are received (such as through e-mail, paper copy, and so on). The bill allows a law enforcement agency to charge or collect a fee for providing these records, which would offset any increased administrative costs that occur from providing records.

Suspected thieves list

The bill requires the local law enforcement agency with jurisdiction to provide a list, as the agency determines appropriate, of the names and descriptions of persons known to be or who are suspected to be thieves or receivers of stolen property and prohibits scrap metal dealers from purchasing or receiving articles from any person identified on the list the dealer receives from the law enforcement agency. An official with the Buckeye State Sheriffs' Association noted that there would likely be a *de minimus* cost associated with generating such a list, as the agency's case management system could be used for this purpose.

Additionally, some law enforcement agencies may already be sending similar lists under an existing statute in the Pawnbrokers Law. Specifically, the Columbus Police Department noted that it regularly sends to pawnbrokers and scrap yards a list of persons with at least two theft convictions. There would be no new cost to law enforcement agencies already sending out these lists to scrap metal dealers.

New penalty and requirements

Violators of any of the new provisions would be fined between \$25 and \$1,000 and the cost of prosecution under current law (the amount of the fine indicates the penalty would be treated as an unclassified misdemeanor). Additionally, scrap metal dealers that violate a number of prohibitions against purchasing or receiving various items from specified persons or complying with certain procedures with respect to purchasing special purchase articles is guilty of a first-degree misdemeanor (M1). An M1 carries a maximum fine of \$1,000 and a maximum sentence of 180 days.

The new penalty and requirements could mean that persons who would not have been prosecuted and convicted under current law may be prosecuted and convicted under the bill. This means that local prosecution and adjudication costs may increase. However, fine and/or court cost revenue would, to some degree, offset local prosecution or adjudication expenses. Fine proceeds remain in the county of prosecution. As a result of the potential for additional criminal prosecutions, the state may gain a negligible amount of revenue to the GRF, which receives \$15 per misdemeanor case, and the Victims of Crime/Reparations Fund (Fund 402), which receives \$9 per misdemeanor case.

LSC fiscal staff: Jason Phillips, Budget Analyst

SB0171S1/rh