



# Ohio Legislative Service Commission

*Jean Botomogno*

---

## Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

---

**Bill:** [S.B. 154 of the 128th G.A.](#)

**Date:** February 22, 2010

**Status:** As Introduced

**Sponsor:** Sen. Patton

**Local Impact Statement Procedure Required:** No — No local cost

**Contents:** Prohibits pharmacy benefit managers that have a relationship with a retail pharmacy from using that relationship to the competitive disadvantage of other retail pharmacies.

### State Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal effect on the state.

### Local Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal effect on political subdivisions.

---

### Detailed Fiscal Analysis

The bill prohibits a pharmacy benefit manager (a third-party administrator of a prescription drug program) that directly or indirectly owns or controls a retail pharmacy from using that relationship to the competitive disadvantage of other retail pharmacies, including allowing coverage of covered drugs dispensed by that captive retail pharmacy but not by other retail pharmacies not under the control of the pharmacy benefit manager. The bill has no direct fiscal effect on state or local governments.

SB0154IN.docx / th