



Ohio Legislative Service Commission

Nick Thomas

Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

Bill: [H.B. 119 of the 129th G.A.](#)

Date: May 24, 2011

Status: As Introduced

Sponsor: Reps. Combs and Fende

Local Impact Statement Procedure Required: No

Contents: Regulates chemical tanning and prohibits individuals under the age of 18 from using florescent lamp tanning

State and Local Fiscal Highlights

- Just as with salons that operate tanning beds that use fluorescent sun lamps, the bill requires that salons that offer chemical tanning to obtain a license from the State Board of Cosmetology. This additional licensing revenue would be deposited into the Occupational Licensing and Regulatory Fund (Fund 4K90). The number of salons that offer only chemical tanning services is not known.
- The bill also prohibits an operator or employee of a tanning salon from allowing those under the age of 18 to use a salon's tanning beds that use fluorescent sun lamps without a prescription from a physician for ultraviolet radiation treatment. Salon operators or employees who violate this prohibition would be subject to discipline by the board, including fines or license suspension or revocation.

Detailed Fiscal Analysis

The bill subjects tanning salons that provide chemical tanning services to regulation by the State Board of Cosmetology (COS). The bill also prohibits a tanning salon operator or employee from providing those under the age of 18 with fluorescent sun lamp tanning services unless the treatment was prescribed by a licensed physician. In FY 2010, there were 1,948 tanning facilities licensed by the Board. Although the bill will add to the number of licensed tanning salons, presumably the majority of salons offering chemical tanning also provide fluorescent sun lamp tanning and are thus already licensed by the Board. Tanning salon license revenue totaled \$13,455 in FY 2010. The initial license fee for tanning facilities is \$65, with a biennial renewal fee of \$50. Finally, the Board might also incur some new costs for investigating cases where salons have been illegally providing fluorescent sun lamp tanning to customers under the age of 18, but those costs would most likely be minimal. These costs could be partially or entirely recouped by fines and penalties assessed by the Board.

HB0119IN.docx / th