

Members Brief

An informational brief prepared by the LSC staff for members and staff of the Ohio General Assembly

Author: Chenwei Zhang, Attorney Reviewer: Laurel Mannion, Division Chief Volume 136 February 18, 2025

Allocating and Modifying Parental Rights and Responsibilities in Ohio

In Ohio, custody of a child is made in an order or decree allocating the parental rights and responsibilities for the care of a child. A court may do any of the following:

- Designate one parent as the child's residential parent and legal custodian (sole custody);
- Provide for shared parenting under a shared parenting plan that is approved by the court (shared parenting); or
- Upon finding that neither parent is suitable, designate a relative to care for the child or certify the matter to the juvenile court to determine custody.

When allocating parental rights and responsibilities, the court must determine the best interest of the child based on statutory factors. Recently enacted law concerns the impact of a parent's cannabis use and decisions regarding a child's gender identity or gender transition on an allocation determination. Conciliation with a magistrate may now be used to resolve allocation disputes between unmarried parents.

The court may modify or terminate an order or decree allocating parental rights and responsibilities when specified circumstances exist. Special provisions apply for parents called to active military service.

Contents

Introduction	. 2
Methods of allocating parental rights and responsibilities	. 2
One parent as residential parent (sole custody)	. 2
Shared parenting	. 3
Custody when the court finds neither parent suitable	
Determining the allocation of parental rights and responsibilities	. 4
Best interest factors for all custody allocations	. 4
Best interest factors specific to shared parenting	. 5
Cannabis use	. 5
Parental decisions regarding a child's gender identity or transition	. 6
Conciliation for resolving disputes	

Modification	6
General procedure	6
When a parent is called to active military service	7
Change in circumstances	
Termination of shared parenting	8

Introduction

In Ohio, custody of a child is referred to as the "allocation of parental rights and responsibilities." The phrase "parental rights and responsibilities" is not defined in the Revised Code, but the Ohio Supreme Court has considered it to be equivalent to "custody and control," the phrase it replaced. A person with "custody" of a child has "the right to ultimate legal and physical control of the child." A court may allocate parental rights and responsibilities for the care of a child in one of the following ways:

- Sole custody;
- Shared parenting;
- To a relative of the child; or
- Certify jurisdiction to the juvenile court to determine custody.

When making an allocation, the court must consider the best interest of the child. The court may not give preference to a parent because of that parent's financial status.² The court may modify an existing order or decree.

Methods of allocating parental rights and responsibilities One parent as residential parent (sole custody)

A court may allocate the parental rights and responsibilities for the care of a child to one parent, designating that parent as the residential parent and legal custodian, and dividing the other rights and responsibilities for the child's care between the parents. This arrangement is commonly referred to as "sole custody," although that phrase is rarely used in the Revised Code. The other rights and responsibilities include financial support and the right of the parent who is not the residential parent and legal custodian to have continuing contact with the child.

One parent will be designated the residential parent and legal custodian when the designation is in the child's best interest and one of the following applies: (1) neither parent files a pleading or motion requesting shared parenting, (2) at least one parent files a pleading or motion requesting shared parenting but does not file a shared parenting plan, or (3) at least one

¹ Fisher v. Hasenjager, 116 Ohio St.3d 53, 57 (2007), citing Braatz v. Braatz, 85 Ohio St.3d 40, 43-44 (1999).

² R.C. 3109.04(B)(1) and (F)(3).

parent files a pleading or motion and a shared parenting plan but no plan is in the child's best interest.³

An unmarried woman who gives birth to a child is automatically designated as the sole residential parent and legal custodian, unless a court issues an order to the contrary. When making a designation, however, a court must treat the child's mother and father as standing upon an equality. Additionally, if the mother has been convicted of or pleaded guilty to rape or sexual battery and has been declared to be the parent of a child born as a result of that rape or sexual battery, she cannot be designated the residential parent and legal custodian of that child.⁴

Shared parenting

Shared parenting means that both parents share all or some aspects of the child's physical and legal care, in the manner set forth in a shared parenting plan that the court approves. Shared parenting is commonly referred to as "joint custody." Like "sole custody," that phrase rarely appears in the Revised Code.

Either or both parents may request shared parenting. A parent who files a request also must file a shared parenting plan. The court reviews each plan to determine whether it is in the child's best interest and may require modifications if the plan is not. If the parents do not make changes or they propose changes that are not acceptable, the court may reject the request and proceed as if it were never made. A court may approve only one plan and must incorporate the approved plan into a final shared parenting decree.

A shared parenting plan must cover all factors relevant to the child's care, including physical living arrangements, financial support, medical and dental care, school placement, and the child's physical location during legal holidays, school holidays, and other days of special importance. When it is in the child's best interest, the court must require that a plan ensure the opportunity for both parents to have frequent and continuing contact with the child.⁵

In 2002, the Ohio Supreme Court held that the juvenile court has jurisdiction to determine if a shared custody agreement between a parent and a nonparent is in the child's best interest. In *In re Bonfield*, two women were raising children together, but under Ohio law only one had legally recognized rights to the children. The women sought to enter into a shared parenting arrangement as a method of ensuring that, regardless of the couple's relationship, each would be involved in raising the children. Because one woman was not a legally recognized "parent," a shared parenting decree was not available. However, the Supreme Court recognized that a parent may enter into a custody agreement with a nonparent, subject to a judicial determination that the nonparent custodian is "a proper person to assume the care, training, and education of the child."

⁵ R.C. 3109.04(A)(2), (D), (G), and (K).

³ R.C. 3109.04(A)(1).

⁴ R.C. 3109.042.

⁶ In re Bonfield, 97 Ohio St.3d 387, 393-396 (2002).

Custody when the court finds neither parent suitable

If the court finds, that it is in a child's best interest to designate neither parent as the residential parent and legal custodian, the court may commit the child to a relative's custody. The court also may certify to the juvenile court its finding that neither parent should be the residential parent and legal custodian. On certification, the juvenile court has exclusive jurisdiction to make custody decisions.⁷

Parents have a fundamental right to the care, custody, and control of their child.⁸ Therefore, for a nonparent to gain custody, the court first must make a determination that the parents are unsuitable. Without a finding of unsuitability, the allocation of parental rights and responsibilities to a nonparent infringes on the parents' fundamental right.⁹

Determining the allocation of parental rights and responsibilities

In determining the best interest of a child when allocating parental rights and responsibilities, the court must consider all relevant factors. While the court has discretion in determining what is relevant, the Revised Code lists specific factors that must be considered. Recently enacted law also addresses the impact of a parent's cannabis use and decisions regarding a child's gender identity or gender transition on an allocation determination.

Best interest factors for all custody allocations

When allocating parental rights and responsibilities on an original decree or a modification of a decree, the court must consider the following factors:¹⁰

- The parents' wishes;
- If the child was interviewed in chambers, the child's wishes and concerns as expressed to the court;
- The child's interaction and interrelationship with parents, siblings, and others who may significantly affect the child's best interest;
- The child's adjustment to home, school, and community;
- The mental and physical health of all persons involved;
- The parent more likely to honor and facilitate court-approved parenting time rights or companionship and visitation rights;
- Whether the residential parent (or one of the parents subject to a shared parenting decree) has continuously and willfully denied parenting time to the other parent;

⁸ Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57, 66 (2000).

⁷ R.C. 3109.04(D)(2).

⁹ Hockstok v. Hockstok, 98 Ohio St.3d 238, 242 (2002).

¹⁰ R.C. 3109.04(F)(1).

- Whether either parent has failed to make child support payments;
- Whether either parent has been convicted of or pleaded guilty to a criminal offense that resulted in a child being abused or neglected;
- Whether either parent, when a child has been adjudicated abused or neglected, has been determined to be the perpetrator of the abusive or neglectful act;
- Whether either parent has been convicted of or pleaded guilty to domestic violence, a sexually oriented offense, or an offense that caused physical harm, against a member of the parent's family or household;
- Whether there is reason to believe that either parent has acted in a manner resulting in a child being abused or neglected;
- Whether either parent has established, or is planning to establish, a residence outside Ohio.

Best interest factors specific to shared parenting

A court considering whether shared parenting is in the child's best interest must consider all relevant factors, including those listed above, and the following additional factors:¹¹

- The parents' ability to cooperate and make decisions jointly concerning the child;
- Each parent's ability to encourage the sharing of love, affection, and contact between the child and the other parent;
- Any history of, or potential for, child abuse, spousal abuse, other domestic violence, or parental kidnapping by either parent;
- The parents' geographic proximity to each other;
- A guardian ad litem's recommendations;
- Deviation factors listed in statute¹² that courts may consider when determining the amount of support under a child support order, including special and unusual needs of the child and various financial considerations of the child and parents.

Cannabis use

Under an initiated statute that took effect in December 2023, the use, possession, or administration of legal adult use cannabis cannot be the sole or primary basis for an allocation of parental rights and responsibilities, unless there is clear and convincing evidence that a child is unsafe.¹³

¹³ R.C. 3780.33(B)(2).

_

¹¹ R.C. 3109.04(F)(2).

¹² R.C. 3119.23.

Parental decisions regarding a child's gender identity or transition

Ohio law enacted inn 2024 prohibits a court from denying or limiting a parent's parental rights and responsibilities based on the parent's decision to do any of the following:

- Refer to and raise the child in a manner consistent with the child's biological sex;
- Decline to consent to the child receiving gender transition services;
- Decline to consent to the child receiving counseling or other mental health services to affirm the child's perception of the child's gender or sex, if it is inconsistent with the child's biological sex.¹⁴

Conciliation for resolving disputes

Conciliation of disputes between the parties regarding allocation of parental rights and responsibilities has been available in Ohio divorce, dissolution, annulment, and legal separation proceedings since 1991. 15 Effective October 2024, if paternity has been established, a court, on its own motion or the motion of one of the parties, may order unmarried parents to undergo conciliation with a magistrate to resolve any disputes between them regarding the allocation of parental rights and responsibilities. A magistrate who serves as a conciliator must use conciliation procedures to resolve a dispute and, upon resolution, issue an order regarding the allocation of parental rights and responsibilities, parenting time, or companionship or visitation.¹⁶

Modification

General procedure

A court may modify a decree allocating parental rights and responsibilities only if it finds, based on facts that have arisen since the decree, or that were unknown to the court at the time of the decree, that (1) there has been a change in the circumstances of the child, the residential parent, or either of the parents subject to a shared parenting decree; and (2) modification is necessary to serve the child's best interest.

The court must retain the residential parent that the decreedesignates, unless a modification is in the child's best interest and one of the following applies: (a) the residential parent in a sole custody situation (or both parents under a shared parenting decree) agrees to change the residential parent designation, (b) the child, with the residential parent's consent (or both parents' consent under a shared parenting decree), has been integrated into the family of the person seeking to become the residential parent, or (c) the advantages of a change in the child's environment outweigh the harm likely to be caused.

¹⁴ R.C. 3109.054. H.B. 68 of the 135th General Assembly , which is available on the General Assembly's website, legislature.ohio.gov, is the subject of litigation pending before the 10th District Court of Appeals (Moe v. Yost, Case No., 24AP-843).

¹⁵ R.C. 3105.091. ¹⁶ R.C. 3109.055.

Either or both parents under a sole custody order or decree may file a motion requesting that it be modified to a shared parenting decree. The court may do so if: (1) there is a change of circumstances, (2) shared parenting is in the child's best interest, and (3) the shared parenting plan complies with the legal requirements for the plans.

Parents under a shared parenting decree may jointly modify the court-approved terms. They may do so at any time by jointly filing the modifications. The court must include the modifications in the plan unless they are not in the child's best interest. If the modifications are not in the child's best interest, the court may reject the modifications or alter the proposed modifications or the plan.

The court may modify the terms of a court-approved shared parenting plan on its own motion at any time if it determines that modifications are in the child's best interest.¹⁷

When a parent is called to active military service

When a parent who is subject to an order allocating parental rights and responsibilities, or for whom an action for an order is pending, is called to active military service, that parent must notify the other parent within three days of receiving notice of the activation. Either parent may then apply to the court for a hearing to expedite an allocation or modification proceeding so that the court can issue an order before the active military service begins.

The court must schedule a hearing, to be held within 30 days after receiving the application, except under exigent circumstances. Like with general modification requirements, the court cannot modify a prior decree unless the court determines that (1) there has been a change in circumstances of the child, the residential parent, or either of the parents, and (2) modification is necessary to serve the child's best interest. The court cannot find past, present, or possible future active military service to be a change in circumstances justifying modification, and must make specific written findings of fact to support any modification.

The court also may issue a temporary order allocating or modifying parental rights and responsibilities during the active military service. The temporary order must specify whether the military service is the basis of the temporary order, and must provide for its termination and resumption of the prior order within ten days after receiving notice of the termination date of the military service, unless the other parent demonstrates that resuming the prior order is not in the child's best interest. The parent called to active military service must provide written notice of the date of termination within 30 days after the service ends. At the request of the parent called to active military service, the court must allow the parent to participate in the temporary order proceeding and to present evidence by electronic means to the extent permitted by the rules of the Ohio Supreme Court.¹⁸

Change in circumstances

In 2007, the Ohio Supreme Court held in *Fisher v. Hasenjager* that based on statute, modifying the designation of residential parent and legal custodian is considered a modification

¹⁷ R.C. 3109.04(E)(1) and (2)(a) and (b).

¹⁸ R.C. 3109.04(I).

of a decree allocating parental rights and responsibilities, which requires a determination that a change in circumstances has occurred and a finding that the modification is in the child's best interest. A different 2007 Ohio Supreme Court case listed several factors that Ohio courts have considered as potentially fulfilling the "change in circumstances" requirement. These include a new marriage that creates hostility by the residential parent and spouse toward the nonresidential parent, frustrating attempts at parenting time; the child's advancement to adolescence; unruly behavior of the residential parent involving the police; and fights between the residential parent and a new spouse that required police intervention, along with the fact that the residential parent had frequently moved. On the second secon

Termination of shared parenting

The court may terminate a shared parenting decree on the request of one or both parents or whenever it determines that shared parenting is not in the child's best interest. If the parents attempt to jointly modify a shared parenting plan and the court rejects the modifications, the court may terminate the shared parenting decree if the court determines that shared parenting is not in the child's best interest. If it terminates a shared parenting decree, the court must issue a modified decree allocating parental rights and responsibilities for the child's care as if the court had not issued a shared parenting decree.²¹

A 2020 Ohio Supreme Court case, *Bruns v. Green*, held that, upon terminating a shared parenting decree and determining which parent to designate as the residential parent and legal custodian in a subsequent allocation, the court only needs to consider the best interest of the child – a change in circumstances determination is not required. The Court emphasized the Revised Code requirement that the court issue a modified decree *as if no prior shared parenting decree had existed*, differentiating this case from *Fisher* in that *Fisher* dealt with a modification of a decree, while *Bruns* dealt with a termination of a decree.²²

¹⁹ 116 Ohio St.3d 53, 60-61 (2007).

²⁰ In re James, 113 Ohio St.3d 420, 424 (2007).

²¹ R.C. 3109.04(E)(2)(c) and (d).

²² 163 Ohio St.3d 43, 43-44, 48-50 (2020).